

## **Portfolio Artifact Scoring Form**

Evaluation Rubric InTASC Standard 9

Teacher Candida Instructor:		Artifact:		
		Course:		
Portfolio Stage:	Initial	☐ Admission to Student Teaching	☐ Transition to Teaching	
	_	ned to the InTASC Educator Standards, as ma	•	

ease in uploading artifacts for each InTASC Teaching Standard."

InTASC Standard 9- Professional Learning and Ethical Practice: The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and

InTASC Standard 9- Professional Learning and Ethical Practice: The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her choices and actions on others (learners, families, other professionals, and the community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner.

WES 9 - Able to evaluate themselves. COEHS - Being a life-long learner, Being a reflective professional.

1	2	3	4	5
Minimal Teacher candidate demonstrates minimal skill in:	Emergent Teacher candidate demonstrates inconsistent skill in:	Progressing Teacher candidate demonstrates somewhat consistent skill in:	Proficient Teacher candidate demonstrates highly consistent skill and the competence expected of a beginning teacher:	Advanced Teacher candidate demonstrates highly consistent skill and performance indicative of an exemplary teacher:
*reflecting on and analyzing a wide range of evidence (e.g., feedback from instructors, cooperating teacher, university supervisors, students, and peers) to evaluate the impact of instruction on individual learners and to set goals for improvement	*reflecting on and analyzing a wide range of evidence (e.g., feedback from instructors, cooperating teacher, university supervisors, students, and peers) to evaluate the impact of instruction on individual learners and to set goals for improvement	*reflecting on and analyzing a wide range of evidence (e.g., feedback from instructors, cooperating teacher, university supervisors, students, and peers) to evaluate the impact of instruction on individual learners and to set goals for improvement	*reflecting on and analyzing a wide range of evidence (e.g., feedback from instructors, cooperating teacher, university supervisors, students, and peers) to evaluate the impact of instruction on individual learners and to set goals for improvement	*reflecting on and analyzing a wide range of evidence (e.g., feedback from instructors, cooperating teacher, university supervisors, students, and peers) to evaluate the impact of instruction on individual learners and to set goals for improvement
			* acting in accordance with ethical codes of conduct and professional standards	* acting in accordance with ethical codes of conduct and professional standards

<b>Rubric Score</b> (whole n	umbers only):
Unacceptable	Must be redone and resubmitted to receive a score
Instructor Signature:	Date:
Make a copy of this for	rm and submit it, along with each assignment being graded, to your instructor.

College of Education and Human Services University of Wisconsin Oshkosh Revised 7/11/2014, Kroeger